

UNITED STATES FATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

		, _ , _ , _ , 		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/865,469	05/25/2001	Aubrey B. Poore JR.	CSUR.01USR1	3415
27479	7590 05/05/2004	No.	EXAM	INER
COCHRAN FREUND & YOUNG LLC			HELLNER, MARK	
3555 STANFO	ORD ROAD			
SUITE 230			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT COLLI	NS, CO 80525	`	3663	

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/865,469	POORE, AUBREY B.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark Hellner	, 3663 MW	
The MAILING DATE of this communication and the second section is a second se	ation appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the fixed period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repication. lays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI I, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice)⊠ This action is non-final. r allowance except for formal matte		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	a) accepted or b) objected to be on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc he correction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been re Note: 18 Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Mail Date prmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	



Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Reissue Applications

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective (see 37 CFR 1.175 and MPEP § 1414) because of the following:

The substitute specification filed 12/23/2003 has not been entered. The subject matter being added to the original patent is double underlined. This is not acceptable under 37 CFR 1.173(b). For example, page 1, line 22 should be:

a. Field of the Invention

Also, the type of underlining used at page 201 is not straight. It should be changed to the type used in the example above.

The formula (5) on page 228 has lines that are overlapping in a manner that makes them unreadable. This should be corrected.

It is required that a substitute specification be provided that one can clearly determine the subject matter added (aaaaaa)/deleted ([aaaaaa]) from the original patent.

Claim1 is rejected as being based upon a defective reissue oath/declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the oath/declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark Hellner at telephone number 703 306 4155.

